arXiv:1701.03356v1 [math.PR] 12 Jan 2017

Operator Equations of Branching Random Walks*

E. Yarovaya

Abstract

Consideration is given to the continuous-time supercritical branching random walk over a multidimensional lattice with a finite number of particle generation sources of the same intensity both with and without constraint on the variance of jumps of random walk underlying the process. Asymptotic behavior of the Green function and eigenvalue of the evolution operator of the mean number of particles under source intensity close to the critical one was established.

Keywords: branching random walks, Green function, convolution-type operator, multipoint perturbations, positive eigenvalues.

AMS Subject Classification: 60J80, 60J35, 62G32

1 Introduction

The continuous-time branching random walk (BRW) with finite number of the branching sources situated at the points of the multidimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 1$, is considered. BRW relies on the symmetrical space-homogeneous irreducible random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 1$. In the recent decades, a sufficiently great number of publications was devoted to the continuous-time BRW on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see, for example, [Albeverio et al, 1998; Albeverio and Bogachev, 2000; Vatutin et al, 2003; Vatutin and Topchii, 2004; Yarovaya, 2007, 2010]). It seems that [Yarovaya, 2015] was the first publication to establish that the number of positive eigenvalues in the discrete spectrum of the operator describing the evolution of the mean numbers of particles and their multiplicity depend not only on the source intensity, but also on their spatial configuration. These results were stated in detail in [Yarovaya, 2016]. A definition of a weakly supercritical BRWs whose discrete spectrum has a unique positive eigenvalue was introduced in [Yarovaya, 2015]. This case is of importance because the fact of uniqueness of the positive eigenvalue facilitates significantly investigation of the particle fronts [Molchanov and Yarovaya, 2012b]. A condition under which the supercritical BRW is weakly supercritical was given in [Yarovaya, 2015].

We outline the paper content. Section 2 gives the basic definitions. It is assumed that the intensities of the branching sources are identical and equal to $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The mean numbers of particles at an arbitrary point of the lattice obey the differential equations treatable as the operator equations in the Banach spaces (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2013b]). Here β_c denotes the minimal value of the source intensity such that for $\beta > \beta_c$ the spectrum of the evolutionary operator of mean numbers of particles $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ contains positive eigenvalues implying the exponential growth in the numbers of particles both in an arbitrary bounded domain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and over the entire lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2010, 2011]). Section 3 is devoted to analysis of behavior of the Green function G_λ of the random walk transition probabilities (Theorems 1 and 3), as well as to determination of the asymptotic estimates of the leading eigenvalue of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ under $\beta \to \beta_c$ in the case of an arbitrary number of sources $N \geq 1$ both under finite (Theorem 2) and infinite variance of jumps of random walk (Theorem 4). Notice that in the cases (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4 corresponding to the recurrent random walk under infinite variance of jumps one succeeds to determine an explicit dependence on the number of sources N. The proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 are given in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

*This work was supported by the Russian Foundarion for Science (project no. 14-21-00162).

2 Description of the Model

Let $A = (a(x,y))_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the matrix of transition intensities of random walk, $a(x,y) \geq 0$ for $x \neq y$, $a(x,x) < 0$, where $a(x,y) = a(y,x) = a(0,y-x) = a(y-x)$ and $\sum_z a(z) = 0$. Let also A be an irreducible matrix, that is, for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ there exists a set of vectors $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $z = \sum_{i=1}^k z_i$ and $a(z_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. The branching mechanism in the sources is independent of the walk and defined by an infinitesimal generating function $f(u) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n u^n$ where $b_n \geq 0$ for $n \neq 1$, $b_1 < 0$ and $\sum_n b_n = 0$. It is assumed that each of the particles evolves independently of the rest of particles. We assume also that there exists the first derivative of the generating function $\beta_1 := f'(1) < \infty$, that is, the first moment of the direct particle offsprings is finite, and denote for brevity $\beta := \beta_1$. For the further exposition, it suffices only to assume that there exists the first moment β. However, we note that the condition for finiteness of all moments, that is, $\beta_r := f^{(r)}(1) < \infty$ for all r, is used in the method-based proofs of the limit theorems on behavior of the numbers of particles in BRW (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2007]).

In the BRW models [Yarovaya, 2012], multipoint perturbations of the generator of symmetrical random walk $\mathscr{A}$ arise which in the case of identical intensity of the sources are given by

$$\mathscr{H}_\beta = \mathscr{A} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_i}, \tag{1}$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathscr{A} : l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, is a symmetrical operator generated by the matrix A and obeying the formula

$$(\mathscr{A}u)(z) := \sum_{z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a(z-z')u(z'),$$

$\Delta_x = \delta_x \delta_x^T$, and $\delta_x = \delta_x(\cdot)$ denotes the column vector on the lattice assuming unit value at the point x and zero value at the rest of points. The perturbation $\beta \sum_i \Delta_{x_i}$ of the linear operator $\mathscr{A}$ may give rise to occurrence in the spectrum of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ of positive eigenvalues, the multiplicity of each such eigenvalue not exceeding the number of the summands N in the last sum [Yarovaya, 2012, 2016].

The multipoint perturbations of the generator of symmetrical random walk $\mathscr{A}$ like (1) occur in the operator equations for the moments of particle numbers. For example, let $\mu_t(y)$ be the number of particles at the time instant t at the point y. Then, the condition that at the initial time instant $t = 0$ the system consists of a single particle situated at the point x is equivalent to the equality $\mu_0(y) = \delta(x-y)$. At that, the total number of particles on the lattice obeys the equality $\mu_t = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mu_t(y)$. Denote by $m_1(t,x,y) = \mathsf{E}_x(\mu_t(y))$ the expectation of the number of particles at the time instant t at the point y, provided that $\mu_0(y) \equiv \delta(x-y)$, that is, at the initial time instant the system had one particle at the point x. As was shown in [Yarovaya, 2012, 2013b], the evolution of $m_1(t,x,y)$ obeys the operator equation in the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$:

$$\frac{d\, m_1(t,x,y)}{d\, t} = (\mathscr{H}_\beta m_1(t,\cdot,y))(x), \qquad m_1(0,x,y) = \delta(x-y).$$

Evolution of the mean number of particles $m_1(t,x) = \mathsf{E}_x(\mu_t(y))$ (total size of the population) over the entire lattice (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2007]) satisfies the operator equation in the corresponding space $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$:

$$\frac{d\, m_1(t,x)}{d\, t} = (\mathscr{H}_\beta m_1(t,\cdot))(x), \qquad m_1(0,x) = 1.$$

Now we notice that the issue of the rate of growth or decrease of the mean number of particles $m_1(t,x,y)$, is tightly bound to the spectral properties of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$. For example, if the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ has the maximal eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$, then $m_1(t,x,y)$ grows at infinity as $e^{\lambda t}$. Denote now by $p(t,x,y)$ the transition probability of the random walk. Clearly, the function $p(t,x,y)$ is determined by the transition intensities $a(x,y)$ (see, for example, [Gikhman and Skorokhod, 2004;

Yarovaya, 2007]). Then the Green function of the operator $\mathscr{A}$ is representable as the Laplace transform of the transition probability $p(t,x,y)$:

$$G_\lambda(x,y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} p(t,x,y)\,dt, \qquad \lambda \geq 0. \tag{2}$$

In what follows, the alternative representation of the function $G_\lambda(x,y)$ will be useful (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2007]):

$$G_\lambda(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{e^{i(\theta,y-x)}}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)}\,d\theta, \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ \lambda \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

or, equivalently,

$$G_\lambda(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{\cos{(\theta,y-x)}}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)}\,d\theta, \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ \lambda \geq 0, \tag{4}$$

For the random walk, the function $G_0(x,y)$ has a simple probabilistic sense of the mean number of hits of the particle at the point y in time $t \to \infty$ for the process starting from the point x. The asymptotics of behavior of the mean number of particles $m_1(t,x,y)$ for $t \to \infty$ is also can be expressed in terms of the function $G_\lambda(x,y)$ (see, for example, [Yarovaya, 2007]). The same reference shows that analysis of BRW depends essentially on whether $G_0 := G_0(0,0)$ is finite or infinite. If the condition for finiteness of the variance of jumps

$$\sum_z |z|^2 a(z) < \infty \tag{5}$$

is satisfied (here and below $|z|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of the vector z), then $G_0 = \infty$ for $d = 1$ or $d = 2$ and $G_0 < \infty$ for $d \geq 3$ (see, for example, [Albeverio et al, 2000; Yarovaya, 2007]). If the asymptotic equality

$$a(z) \sim \frac{H\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}, \qquad \alpha \in (0,2), \tag{6}$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large in norm $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $H(\cdot)$ is continuous, positive, and symmetrical function on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : |z| = 1\}$, then $G_0 = \infty$ in the case of $d = 1$ and $\alpha \in [1,2)$, and of $d = 1$, and G_0 is finite in the cases $\alpha \in (0,1)$ or $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0,2)$ [Yarovaya, 2013a]. In distinction to (5), condition (6) leads to divergence of the series $\sum_z |z|^2 a(z)$ and thereby to infinity of variance of jumps.

We present the necessary information about the discrete spectrum of the evolutionary operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$. Denote by $\beta_c := \beta_c(N)$, where $N \geq 1$, the minimal value of source intensity such that for $\beta > \beta_c$ the spectrum of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ contains the positive eigenvalues.

As was shown in [Yarovaya, 2016], for the BRW based on symmetrical, space-homogeneous, and irreducible random walk, either of conditions (5) or (6) is satisfied. If $G_0 = \infty$, then $\beta_c(N) = 0$ for $N \geq 1$. If $G_0 < \infty$, then $\beta_c(1) = G_0^{-1}$ for $N = 1$ and $0 < \beta_c(N) < G_0^{-1}$ for $N \geq 2$.

In the case of $G_0 < \infty$ for $N = 2$, the quantity β_c was calculated in [Yarovaya, 2012] under condition (5)

$$\beta_c = (G_0 + \widetilde{G}_0)^{-1}, \tag{7}$$

where $\widetilde{G}_0 = G_0(x_1, x_2)$. However, we notice that, as was shown in [Yarovaya, 2016], condition (5) in [Yarovaya, 2012] is nonessential and the equality (7) remains valid even under condition (6).

Additional information on the structure of the discrete spectrum of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ can be extracted from the statement in [Yarovaya, 2015] that for $N \geq 2$ and $\beta > \beta_c$ the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ can have at most N positive eigenvalues counting their multiplicity

$$\lambda_0(\beta) > \lambda_1(\beta) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N-1}(\beta) > 0, \tag{8}$$

the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$ being simple, that is, having the unit multiplicity. Moreover, there exists a value of $\beta_{c_1} > \beta_c$ such that for $\beta \in (\beta_c, \beta_{c_1})$ the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ has a unique eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$.

3 Weakly Supercritical BRW

The concept of weakly supercritical BRW was introduced in [Yarovaya, 2015].

Definition 1. *If there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\beta \in (\beta_c, \beta_c + \varepsilon_0)$ the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ has one (counting multiplicity) positive eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$ satisfying the condition $\lambda_0(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$, then the supercritical BRW is called weakly supercritical for β close to β_c.*

As was established in [Yarovaya, 2015, 2016], for $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$ each supercritical BRW is weakly supercritical. Of special interest for the weakly supercritical branching random walks are the asymptotics of the Green function (2) and the eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$ for the evolutionary operator (1) for $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$, that is, for $\beta \to \beta_c$, $\beta > \beta_c$.

As was shown in [Yarovaya, 2007; Molchanov and Yarovaya, 2012a], the following two assertions are valid under the condition (5).

Theorem 1. *If $\lambda \downarrow 0$, then the following asymptotic equalities take place:*

(i) $G_\lambda \sim \gamma_1 \sqrt{\pi} (\sqrt{\lambda})^{-1}$ *for* $d = 1$,

(ii) $G_\lambda \sim -\gamma_2 \ln \lambda$ *for* $d = 2$,

(iii) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim -2\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_3 \sqrt{\lambda}$ *for* $d = 3$,

(iv) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim \gamma_4 \lambda \ln \lambda$ *for* $d = 4$,

(v) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim -\gamma_d \lambda$ *for* $d \geq 5$,

where γ_d is some positive constant.

Theorem 2. *The eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$ of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ for $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$ has the following asymptotic behavior:*

(i) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_1 (N\beta)^2$ *for* $d = 1$,

(ii) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim e^{-c_2/(N\beta)}$ *for* $d = 2$,

(iii) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_3 (\beta - \beta_c)^2$ *for* $d = 3$,

(iv) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_4 (\beta - \beta_c) \ln^{-1}((\beta - \beta_c)^{-1})$ *for* $d = 4$,

(v) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_d (\beta - \beta_c)$ *for* $d \geq 5$,

where c_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are some positive constants.

The case of infinite variance of the random walk jumps, to which condition (6) gives rise, is less studied, and the following Theorems 3 and 4 are devoted to its analysis. We notice that Theorem 2 for $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is proved along the same lines as Theorem 4. An analog of Theorem 2 for $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be found in [Cranston et al, 2009].

Theorem 3. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. If $\lambda \downarrow 0$, then there are the following asymptotic equalities:*

(i) $G_\lambda \sim \gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ *for* $d = 1$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$,

(ii) $G_\lambda \sim -\gamma_{d,\alpha} \ln \lambda$ *for* $d = 1$, $\alpha = 1$,

(iii) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim -\gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ *for* $d = 1$, $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *or* $d = 2$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ *or* $d = 3$, $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$,

(iv) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim \gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda \ln \lambda$ *for* $d = 1$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ *or* $d = 2$, $\alpha = 1$ *or* $d = 3$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$,

(v) $G_\lambda - G_0 \sim -\gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda$ *for* $d = 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *or* $d = 2$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ *or* $d = 3$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{3}{2})$ *or* $d \geq 4$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$,

where $\gamma_{d,\alpha}$ is some positive constant for each dimension d of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $N \geq 1$. Then, the following asymptotic representations are valid for the function $\lambda_0(\beta)$ under $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$.*

(i) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{d,\alpha}(N\beta)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$ *for* $d=1$, $\alpha \in (1,2)$,

(ii) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim e^{-c_{d,\alpha}/(N\beta)}$ *for* $d=1$, $\alpha = 1$,

(iii) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)^{\frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha}}$ *for* $d=1$, $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$ *or* $d=2$, $\alpha \in (1,2)$ *or* $d=3$, $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{2},2)$,

(iv) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim e^{W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c))}$ *for* $d=1$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ *or* $d=2$, $\alpha=1$ *or* $d=3$, $\alpha=\frac{3}{2}$,

(v) $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)$ *for* $d=1$, $\alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$ *or* $d=2$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *or* $d=3$, $\alpha \in (0,\frac{3}{2})$ *or* $d \geq 4$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$,

where $c_{d,\alpha}$ is some positive constant (for each fixed values of the parameter α and dimension d of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$), and $W(x)$ is the lower branch of the Lambert W-function[1], see Fig. 1, satisfying the condition $W(x) \to -\infty$ for $x \uparrow 0$.



Figure 1: Plot of the Lambert W-function

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Before proving Theorem 3, we formulate an auxiliary statement which is a nonsopisticated consequence of the Tauberian Theorems 2 and 4 from [Feller, 1970, 1971, vol. 2, Ch. XIII, § 5] and Problem 16 from [Feller, 1970, 1971, vol. 2, Ch. XIII, §11]. We recall that the function $L(t) > 0$ determined under sufficiently large values of t is called the *slowly varying at infinity* if $\frac{L(tx)}{L(t)} \to 1$ for $t \to \infty$ and each fixed $x > 0$.

Lemma 1. *Let $u(t)$ be a positive function summable on $[0,\infty)$, and*

$$\omega(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(t)\, dt, \qquad \lambda > 0$$

be its Laplace transform. Let also $L(t)$ be a function slowly varying at infinity. Then, the following statements are valid:

[1]The Lambert function $W(z)$ of the complex variable z is determined as solution of the equation $We^W = z$ (see, for example, [Corless et al, 1996]).

(i) *If* $0 \le \rho < \infty$, *then*

$$\omega(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^\rho} L(\frac{1}{\lambda}) \quad \textit{for} \quad \lambda \to 0 \tag{9}$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^t u(s)\, ds \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} t^\rho L(t) \quad \textit{for} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{10}$$

(ii) *If* $0 < \rho < \infty$ *and for the function* $u(t)$ *there exists a function* $v(t)$ *monotone over some interval* $[t_0, \infty)$ *such that* $u(t) \sim v(t)$ *for* $t \to \infty$, *that is,* $u(t)/v(t) \to 1$ *for* $t \to \infty$, *then equality* (9) *takes place if and only if*

$$u(t) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} t^{\rho-1} L(t) \quad \textit{for} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{11}$$

We proceed now to proving Theorem 3. It was established in [Agbor et al, 2014] that for $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d \ge 1$ and for each $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ the asymptotic equality holds

$$p(t,x,y) \sim \frac{h_{\alpha,d}}{t^{\frac{d}{\alpha}}} \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{12}$$

where $h_{\alpha,d} > 0$ is a constant. From this relation and representation (2) follows the fact that $G_0 = \infty$ in the case of $d = 1$ and $\alpha \in [1,2)$, and the variable G_0 is finite in the cases of $d = 1$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ or $d \ge 2$ and $\alpha \in (0,2)$. These relations for G_0 may be established without using equality (12) (see [Yarovaya, 2013a]). We begin the proof from the cases (i) and (ii) where $G_0 = \infty$. Denote $p(t) := p(t,0,0)$ and assume that $u(t) \equiv p(t)$, $v(t) = \frac{h_{\alpha,d}}{t^{\frac{d}{\alpha}}}$. Since the function $v(t)$ is monotone, in virtue of (12) the function $u(t)$ is asymptotically monotone.

Case (i). Assume that $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $L(t) = h_{\alpha,1}$, where by the condition $\alpha \in (1,2)$. By virtue of statement (ii) of Lemma 1, for the function $G_\lambda \equiv \omega(\lambda)$ in this case there exists the asymptotic equality $G_\lambda \sim \gamma_{1,\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ for $\lambda \to 0$, where $\gamma_{1,\alpha} = h_{\alpha,1}\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})$, which proves the theorem for the case (i).

Case (ii). Here $\alpha = 1$ and, therefore, $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} = 0$. Now we make use of statement (i) of Lemma 1. For that we first need to determine the asymptotics of the integral in the left side of (10). By virtue of (12), the equality $v(t) = h_{1,1} t^{-1}$ is valid and, therefore,

$$\int_0^t u(s)\, ds \sim h_{1,1} \ln t \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Now by using statement (i) of Lemma 1 we establish that $G_\lambda \sim \gamma_{1,\alpha} \ln \lambda$ for $\lambda \to 0$, where $\Gamma(1) = 1$ and $\gamma_{1,1} = -h_{1,1}$, which proves the theorem for the case (ii).

To prove the theorem for the cases (iii)–(v) where $G_0 < \infty$, we need an auxiliary relation. By representing G_λ as

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} p(t)\, dt &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t p(s)\, ds \right) dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(G_0 - \int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt = G_0 - \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$G_0 - G_\lambda = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt, \tag{13}$$

where in virtue of (12) the asymptotic equality

$$f(t) := \int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \sim \frac{h_{\alpha,d}}{\frac{d}{\alpha} - 1} t^{1 - \frac{d}{\alpha}} \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty \tag{14}$$

takes place. For the cases (iii) and (iv), we assume that $u(t) \equiv f(t)$ and $v(t) = h_{\alpha,d}\left(\frac{d}{\alpha} - 1\right)^{-1} t^{1-\frac{d}{\alpha}}$.

Case (iii). In this case, the estimate $1 - \frac{d}{\alpha} > -1$ is valid under all relevant combinations of the parameters d and α, and in (13) the outer integral diverges for $\lambda = 0$. Additionally, the inequality $\rho > 0$ is satisfied in this case for ρ defined by $\rho - 1 = 1 - \frac{d}{\alpha}$. Also, $L(t) \equiv \Gamma(\rho) h_{\alpha,d}$. Consequently, the statement (ii) of Lemma 1 is applicable for estimation of the asymptotics of the integral in the right side of (13). It follows from this statement that

$$G_0 - G_\lambda = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} u(t)\, dt \sim \gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}} \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \to 0,$$

where $\gamma_{d,\alpha} = \Gamma(\rho) h_{\alpha,d}$, which proves the theorem for the case (iii).

Case (iv). In this case, the equality $\rho - 1 = 1 - \frac{d}{\alpha} = -1$ takes place for all relevant combinations of the parameters d and α, and, correspondingly, $\rho = 0$. Therefore, we use statement (i) of Lemma 1. For that we need to know the asymptotics of integral (10). In virtue of (14), the function $v(t)$ under all considered conditions is given by $v(t) = h_{\alpha,d} t^{-1}$, and then

$$\int_0^t u(s)\, ds \sim h_{\alpha,d} \ln t \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty.$$

By using the statement (i) of Lemma 1 we obtain that $G_0 - G_\lambda \sim \gamma_{d,\alpha} \ln \lambda$ for $\lambda \to 0$, where $\gamma_{d,\alpha} = -\Gamma(1) h_{d,\alpha}$, which proves the theorem for the case (iv).

Case (v). For the outer integral in (13) to be finite and uniformly bounded under all sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, it suffices in virtue of the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt \le \int_0^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt,$$

that the function $f(t)$ as defined in (14) be integrable over $[0, \infty)$. In its turm, by virtue of (14) this takes place for $1 - \frac{d}{\alpha} < -1$ or, which is the same, $d > 2\alpha$. Consequently, under condition (v) the integral in (13) is finite, and for $\lambda \to 0$ we get

$$G_0 - G_\lambda = \gamma_{d,\alpha} \lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_{d,\alpha} = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty p(s)\, ds \right) dt \tag{15}$$

which completes the proof of theorem for the case (v).

By using Theorem 3, one can obtain asymptotic representations for the function $\lambda_0(\beta)$ for $\beta \downarrow \beta_c$ in the case of an arbitrary fixed number of sources N.

5 Proof of Theorem 4

Prior to proving the theorem, we prove an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi(\theta)$, where $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \ne 0$ and $\phi(0) = 0$, and let*

$$\Theta(\lambda) = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{1}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)} d\theta \to \infty \quad \textit{for} \quad \lambda \downarrow 0.$$

Let additionally $f(\theta)$ and $h(\theta)$ be functions continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]^d$ and satisfying the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $h(0) = 1$. Then, for $\lambda \downarrow 0$

$$\frac{F(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)} \to 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{H(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)} \to 1, \tag{17}$$

where

$$F(\lambda) = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)} d\theta, \quad H(\lambda) = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{h(\theta)}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Proof. Relation (17) follows from (16) if under the given function $h(\theta)$ one takes $f(\theta) = h(\theta) - 1$ and notes that in this case $H(\lambda) = F(\lambda) + \Theta(\lambda)$.

We prove relation (16). Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and use it to define $\rho = \rho(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|f(\theta)| \le \varepsilon$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{S}_\rho := \{x : \|x\| \le \rho\}$. For the already obtained ρ, denote by κ_ρ the variable

$$\kappa_\rho := \operatorname{mes}\left\{[-\pi,\pi]^d \setminus \mathbb{S}_\rho\right\} \cdot \max_{\theta\in[-\pi,\pi]^d\setminus\mathbb{S}_\rho} \left|\frac{f(\theta)}{\phi(\theta)}\right|.$$

We note that in virtue of continuity of the functions $\phi(\theta)$ and $f(\theta)$, as well as of the fact that $\phi(\theta) \ne 0$ for $\theta \ne 0$, the quantity κ_ρ is finite and, moreover, for $\lambda > 0$ the following inequalities hold:

$$\left|\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d\setminus\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)}\, d\theta\right| \le \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d\setminus\mathbb{S}_\rho} \left|\frac{f(\theta)}{\phi(\theta)}\right| d\theta \le \kappa_\rho. \tag{18}$$

Estimate now the quantity $\left|\frac{F(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)}\right|$:

$$\left|\frac{F(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)}\right| = \left|\frac{\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta}{\Theta(\lambda)}\right| \le \frac{\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta\right|}{\Theta(\lambda)} + \frac{\left|\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d\setminus\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta\right|}{\Theta(\lambda)}.$$

For the first summand, we get

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{f(\theta)}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta\right| \le \int_{\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{|f(\theta)|}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta \le \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{S}_\rho} \frac{1}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta \le \varepsilon \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{1}{\lambda-\phi(\theta)}d\theta = \varepsilon\Theta(\lambda)$$

from which and (18) we conclude that

$$\left|\frac{F(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)}\right| \le \varepsilon + \frac{\kappa_\rho}{\Theta(\lambda)},$$

and therefore,

$$\limsup_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \left|\frac{F(\lambda)}{\Theta(\lambda)}\right| \le \varepsilon.$$

Then (16) takes place in virtue of arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$. □

Proceed now to proving Theorem 4. Assume that

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} G_\lambda(x_1,x_1) & \cdots & G_\lambda(x_1,x_N) \\ G_\lambda(x_2,x_1) & \cdots & G_\lambda(x_2,x_N) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ G_\lambda(x_N,x_1) & \cdots & G_\lambda(x_N,x_N) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Denote by $\gamma_0(\lambda) \ge \gamma_1(\lambda) \ge \cdots \ge \gamma_{N-1}(\lambda)$ the eigenvalues of the matrix $\Gamma(\lambda)$ arranged in the descending order which are determined from the equation

$$\det\left(\Gamma(\lambda) - \gamma I\right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

As was shown in [Yarovaya, 2016, Lemma 5], between the two first eigenvalues there indeed exists the strict inequality $\gamma_0(\lambda) > \gamma_1(\lambda)$, and therefore,

$$\gamma_0(\lambda) > \gamma_1(\lambda) \ge \cdots \ge \gamma_{N-1}(\lambda).$$

As was shown in [Yarovaya, 2016], in this case for each β the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_0(\beta)$ of the operator $\mathscr{H}_\beta$ is found from the equation

$$\gamma_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta}, \tag{21}$$

which is significant in what follows.

By the definition (2), the function G_λ is monotone in λ, and therefore, two cases, $G_\lambda \to \infty$ and $G_\lambda \le C < \infty$ for $\lambda \to 0$, are possible, where C is some positive constant.

Consider first the case of $G_\lambda \to \infty$ for $\lambda \to 0$. As in Theorem 3, this situation is possible only under conditions (i) and (ii). In this case, under fixed x_i and x_j in virtue of (4)

$$G_\lambda(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \frac{h_{ij}(\theta)}{\lambda - \phi(\theta)}\, d\theta, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ \lambda \ge 0,$$

where $h_{ij}(\theta) = \cos(\theta, x_j - x_i)$, and since $h_{ij}(0) = 1$, the relation

$$\frac{G_\lambda(x_i, x_j)}{G_\lambda} \to 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \to 0 \tag{22}$$

is valid in virtue of Lemma 2.

Represent the equality (20) as

$$\det(\Gamma(\lambda) - \gamma I) = G_\lambda^N \det\left(\frac{1}{G_\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda) - \frac{\gamma}{G_\lambda} I\right) = 0.$$

Denote by $\tilde\gamma_i(\lambda)$ the eigenvalues of the matrix $\frac{1}{G_\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda)$. Then,

$$\tilde\gamma_i(\lambda) = \frac{\gamma_i(\lambda)}{G_\lambda}, \tag{23}$$

where the quantities $\tilde\gamma_i(\lambda)$ are determined from the equation

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{G_\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda) - \tilde\gamma_i(\lambda) I\right) = 0$$

In virtue of (19) and (22),

$$\frac{1}{G_\lambda}\Gamma(\lambda) \to \tilde\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \to 0, \tag{24}$$

As a simple calculation demonstrates, the matrix $\tilde\Gamma$ has one simple eigenvalue (i.e. of unit multiplicity) equal to N and $N-1$ coinciding zero eigenvalues. In virtue of the representation (24), by the theorem on continuous dependence of the eigenvalues on a matrix [Gantmacher, 1959] we then obtain that

$$\tilde\gamma_0(\lambda) \to N. \tag{25}$$

It follows in this case from (23) and (25) that

$$\gamma_0(\lambda) \sim N G_\lambda \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \to 0,$$

that is, by virtue of (21) $\lambda = \lambda_0(\beta)$ obeys the equation

$$N G_\lambda (1 + \varphi_0(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta}, \tag{26}$$

where $\varphi_0(\lambda)$ is a function satisfying $\varphi_0(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$.

Case (i). In virtue of assertion (i) of Theorem 3 the function G_λ is representable as

$$G_\lambda = \gamma_{1,\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (1 + \varphi(\lambda)), \tag{27}$$

where $\varphi(\lambda)$ is some function for which $\varphi(\lambda) \to 0$ under $\lambda \to 0$. It follows from that and (26) that in this case the quantity $\lambda = \lambda_0(\beta)$ is given by

$$\gamma_{1,\alpha}\lambda^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \cdot (1+\varphi(\lambda))(1+\varphi_0(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{N\beta},$$

whence it follows that

$$\lambda_0(\beta) = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1,\alpha}N\beta}\left[\frac{1}{(1+\varphi(\lambda_0(\beta)))(1+\varphi_0(\lambda_0(\beta)))}\right]\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}.$$

Since $\lambda_0(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \to 0$, the bracketed expression tends to 1 under $\beta \to 0$. By assuming that $c_{1,\alpha} = (\gamma_{1,\alpha})^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$, we obtain

$$\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{1,\alpha}(N\beta)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$$

for $\beta \to 0$, which proves the theorem for the case (i).

Case (ii). In virtue of assertion (ii) of Theorem 3, the representation

$$G_\lambda = -\gamma_{1,1}(\ln\lambda)(1+\varphi(\lambda)) \tag{28}$$

is valid, where again $\varphi(\lambda)$ is some function satisfying $\varphi(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$. Then, as in the preceding case we establish in virtue of (26) that the quantity $\lambda = \lambda_0(\beta)$ is given by

$$-\gamma_{1,1}(\ln\lambda)(1+\varphi(\lambda))(1+\varphi_0(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{N\beta},$$

whence it follows that

$$\ln\lambda_0(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_{1,1}N\beta}\left[\frac{1}{(1+\varphi(\lambda_0(\beta)))(1+\varphi_0(\lambda_0(\beta)))}\right].$$

Again, since $\lambda_0(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \to 0$, the bracketed expression tends to 1 for $\beta \to 0$. By assuming that $c_{1,1} = (\gamma_{1,1})^{-1}$, we establish

$$\lambda_0(\beta) \sim e^{-\frac{c_{1,1}}{N\beta}}$$

for $\beta \to 0$, which proves the theorem for the case (ii).

Now we go to the proof in the case of

$$G_\lambda \le C < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

We notice that this inequality can take place only if conditions (iii)–(v) of Theorem 3 are satisfied. In this case, in virtue of (13) the following equalities are valid for each pair of subscripts i and j:

$$G_0(x_i,x_j) - G_\lambda(x_i,x_j) = \lambda\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\left(\int_t^\infty p(s,x_i,x_j)\,ds\right)dt, \tag{29}$$

whence, as in the proofs of statements (iii)–(v) of Theorem 3, it follows for $\lambda \to 0$ that

$$G_0(x_i,x_j) - G_\lambda(x_i,x_j) \sim \psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda),$$

where $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$ is one of the functions $\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ or $-\gamma_{d,\alpha}\ln\lambda$ or $\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda$. We underline that here the right side of the asymptotic equality, the function $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$, is independent of x_i and x_j.

In this case, the matrix $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is representable as

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \Gamma(0) + \psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)Q(\lambda),$$

where the particular form of the function $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$ is determined by assertions (iii)–(v) of Theorem 3, and $Q(\lambda)$ in the limit is again the matrix consisting only of units. Then, the equation (20) for $\gamma_0(\lambda)$ can be rearranged in

$$\det\left(\Gamma(0) + \psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)Q(\lambda) - \gamma_0(\lambda)I\right) = 0.$$

As can be seen from this equation, for $\lambda \to 0$ not only the relation $\gamma_0(\lambda) \to \gamma_0(0)$ takes place, but also, since the leading eigenvalue of the matrix $\Gamma(0)$ is simple, by the theorem of smooth dependence of the simple eigenvalues under smooth perturbations of a matrix [Kato, 1966] there exists a number $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\gamma_0(\lambda) = \gamma_0(0) + \kappa\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)(1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)),$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$.[2] To determine $\lambda_0(\beta)$, with regard for

$$\gamma_0(0) = \frac{1}{\beta_c},$$

we get from (21)

$$\frac{1}{\beta_c} + \kappa\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)(1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

or

$$\kappa\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)(1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_c}. \tag{30}$$

Case (iii). In this case, according to statement (iii) of Theorem 3 the function $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ is given by

$$\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda) = -\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}}(1 + \varphi(\lambda)),$$

where $\varphi(\lambda)$ is some function satisfying $\varphi(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$. Then for $\lambda = \lambda_0(\beta)$ one can put down the equation

$$-\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}}(1 + \varphi(\lambda))(1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_c}.$$

Whence it follows that

$$(\lambda_0(\beta))^{\frac{d-\alpha}{\alpha}} = \frac{\beta - \beta_c}{\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2}\left[\frac{\beta_c}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi(\lambda_0(\beta))) \cdot (1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda_0(\beta)))}\right].$$

As above, the bracketed expression tends to 1 for $\beta \to \beta_c$. Therefore, by assuming that $c_{d,\alpha} = (\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha}}$ we get

$$\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{d,\alpha}(\beta - \beta_c)^{\frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha}} \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \to \beta_c,$$

which completes the proof of theorem for the case (iii).

Case (iv). In virtue of statement (iv) of Theorem 3, in this case $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ is given by

$$\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda) = \gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda\ln\lambda(1 + \varphi(\lambda)), \tag{31}$$

where $\varphi(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$. In virtue of (30) we then get the equality

$$\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda\ln\lambda(1 + \varphi(\lambda))(1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_c},$$

whence it follows that

$$\lambda_0(\beta)\ln\lambda_0(\beta) = \frac{\beta - \beta_c}{-\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2}\left[\frac{\beta_c}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi(\lambda_0(\beta))) \cdot (1 + \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda_0(\beta)))}\right].$$

Since in this case $\lambda_0(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$, the bracketed expression tends to 1 for $\beta \to \beta_c$. Therefore, we get $\lambda_0(\beta)\ln\lambda_0(\beta) \sim -c_{d,\alpha}(\beta - \beta_c)$, where $c_{d,\alpha} = (\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2)^{-1}$ or, which is the same,

$$\lambda_0(\beta)\ln\lambda_0(\beta) = -c_{d,\alpha}(\beta - \beta_c) + (\beta - \beta_c)\tilde{\varphi}(\beta),$$

[2] We notice that, according to the theory of perturbations of linear operators [Kato, 1966] the number κ is defined by the structure of the matrices $\Gamma(0)$ and $\Gamma'_\lambda(0)$, and therefore, depends in particular on d, α and N.

where $\bar{\varphi}(\beta)$ is some function such that $\bar{\varphi}(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$. In this case,

$$\lambda_0(\beta) = e^{W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)+(\beta-\beta_c)\bar{\varphi}(\beta))},$$

where $W(x)$ is the lower branch of the Lambert W-function [Corless et al, 1996]. Extract the "main part" in the right side of the obtained equality, for which purpose represent this equality as

$$\lambda_0(\beta) = e^{W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c))} e^{\bar{W}(\beta)}, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\bar{W}(\beta) = W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)+(\beta-\beta_c)\bar{\varphi}(\beta)) - W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)).$$

By making use of the fact that the derivative of the Lambert W-function is given by

$$W'(x) = \frac{W(x)}{x(1+W(x))}, \qquad x \neq 0,$$

(see [Corless et al, 1996]), by the mean-value theorem we get the expression

$$\bar{W}(\beta) = W'(\theta)\big(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)+(\beta-\beta_c)\bar{\varphi}(\beta) - (-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c))\big),$$

where θ is some number satisfying the inequality

$$-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c) \le \theta \le -c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)+(\beta-\beta_c)\bar{\varphi}(\beta).$$

Then,

$$\bar{W}(\beta) = \frac{W(\theta)}{(1+W(\theta))} \cdot \frac{(\beta-\beta_c)\bar{\varphi}(\beta)}{\theta},$$

where $\theta \to 0$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$. Therefore, also $\frac{W(\theta)}{1+W(\theta)} \to 1$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$ because $W(\theta) \to -\infty$ for $\theta \to 0$. Consequently, $\tilde{W}(\beta) \to 0$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$.

Therefore, in equality (32) we have $e^{\tilde{W}(\beta)} \to 1$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$ and then, $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim e^{W(-c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c))}$, which completes the proof of the theorem in the case (iv).

Case (v). As follows from statement (v) of Theorem 3, in this case the function $\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ is given by

$$\psi_{d,\alpha}(\lambda) = -\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda(1+\varphi(\lambda)),$$

where $\varphi(\lambda)$ is some function satisfying $\varphi(\lambda) \to 0$ for $\lambda \to 0$. Then, by virtue of (30) we get the equality

$$-\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\lambda(1+\varphi(\lambda))(1+\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_c},$$

whence it follows that

$$\lambda_0(\beta) = \frac{\beta-\beta_c}{\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2}\left[\frac{\beta_c}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+\varphi(\lambda_0(\beta))) \cdot (1+\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda_0(\beta)))}\right].$$

The bracketed expression here, as above, tends to 1 for $\beta \to \beta_c$. Therefore, by assuming that $c_{d,\alpha} = (\kappa\gamma_{d,\alpha}\beta_c^2)^{-1}$ we obtain that $\lambda_0(\beta) \sim c_{d,\alpha}(\beta-\beta_c)$ for $\beta \to \beta_c$, which completes the proof of case (v) and also the entire theorem.

Acknowledgments

This study has been carried out at Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, and was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, project no. 14-21-00162.

References

Agbor A, Molchanov S, Vainberg B (2014) Global limit theorems on the convergence of multidimensional random walks to stable processes. ArXiv.org e-Print archive, URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2487, 1405.2487

Albeverio S, Bogachev LV (2000) Branching random walk in a catalytic medium. I. Basic equations. Positivity 4(1):41–100, DOI 10.1023/A:1009818620550, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009818620550

Albeverio S, Bogachev LV, Yarovaya EB (1998) Asymptotics of branching symmetric random walk on the lattice with a single source. C R Acad Sci Paris Sér I Math 326(8):975–980, DOI 10.1016/S0764-4442(98)80125-0, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0764444298801250

Albeverio S, Bogachev LV, Yarovaya EB (2000) Branching random walk with a single source. In: Communications in difference equations (Poznan, 1998), Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, pp 9–19

Corless RM, Gonnet GH, Hare DEG, Jeffrey DJ, Knuth DE (1996) On the Lambert W function. Adv Comput Math 5(4):329–359, DOI 10.1007/BF02124750, URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF02124750

Cranston M, Koralov L, Molchanov S, Vainberg B (2009) Continuous model for homopolymers. J Funct Anal 256(8):2656–2696

Feller W (1970, 1971) An introduction to probability theory and its applications., Second edition, vol I, II. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York

Gantmacher FR (1959) The theory of matrices. Vols. 1, 2. Translated by K. A. Hirsch, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York

Gikhman II, Skorokhod AV (2004) The theory of stochastic processes. II. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, translated from the Russian by S. Kotz, Reprint of the 1975 edition

Kato T (1966) Perturbation theory for linear operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York

Molchanov SA, Yarovaya EB (2012a) Branching processes with lattice spatial dynamics and a finite set of particle generation centers. Dokl Akad Nauk 446(3):259–262, DOI 10.1134/S1064562412040278, URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1064562412040278

Molchanov SA, Yarovaya EB (2012b) Population structure inside the propagation front of a branching random walk with finitely many centers of particle generation. Dokl Akad Nauk 447(3):265–268, DOI 10.1134/S1064562412060178, URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1064562412060178

Vatutin V, Topchii V (2004) Limit theorem for critical catalytic branching random walks. Teor Veroyatn Primen 49(3):463–484

Vatutin VA, Topchiĭ VA, Yarovaya EB (2003) Catalytic branching random walks and queueing systems with a random number of independent servers. Teor Ĭmovīr Mat Stat (69):1–15

Yarovaya E (2013a) Branching random walks with heavy tails. Comm Statist Theory Methods 42(16):3001–3010, DOI 10.1080/03610926.2012.703282, URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03610926.2012.703282

Yarovaya EB (2007) Branching random walks in a heterogeneous environment. Center of Applied Investigations of the Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics of the Moscow State University, Moscow, in Russian

Yarovaya EB (2010) Criteria for the exponential growth of the number of particles in models of branching random walks. Teor Veroyatn Primen 55(4):705–731, DOI 10.1137/S0040585X97985091, URL http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/S0040585X97985091

Yarovaya EB (2011) Supercritical branching random walks with a single source. Comm Statist Theory Methods 40(16):2926–2945, DOI 10.1080/03610926.2011.562779, URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03610926.2011.562779

Yarovaya EB (2012) Spectral properties of evolutionary operators in branching random walk models. Math Notes 92(1-2):115–131, DOI 10.1134/S0001434612070139, URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS0001434612070139, translation of Mat. Zametki **9**2 (2012), no. 1, 123–140

Yarovaya EB (2013b) Branching random walks with several sources. Math Popul Stud 20(1):14–26, DOI 10.1080/08898480.2013.748571, URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08898480.2013.748571

Yarovaya EB (2015) The structure of the positive discrete spectrum of the evolution operator arising in branching random walks. Doklady Mathematics 92(1):507–510, DOI 10.1134/S1064562415040316, URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1064562415040316

Yarovaya EB (2016) Positive discrete spectrum of the evolutionary operator of supercritical branching walks with heavy tails. Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability pp 1–17, DOI 10.1007/s11009-016-9492-9